This article was downloaded by: On: *18 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Vierkorn-rudolph, B., Rudolph, J. and Diederich, S.(1985) 'Determination of Peroxyacetylnitrate (PAN) in Unpolluted Areas', International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 20: 1, 131 – 140 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/03067318508077051 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03067318508077051

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Intern. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., 1985, Vol. 20, pp. 131-140 0306-7319/85/2002-0131 \$18.50/0 © 1985 Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, Inc. and OPA Ltd. Printed in Great Britain

Determination of PeroxyacetyInitrate (PAN) in Unpolluted Areas

B. VIERKORN-RUDOLPH, J. RUDOLPH and S. DIEDERICH

Institut für Chemie 3: Atmosphärische Chemie der Kernforschungsanlage Jülich GmbH, P.O. Box 1913, D-5170 Jülich, F.R.G.

(Received November 25, 1984)

PAN is not only important as toxic product of photochemical pollution but may also act as an important reservoir for nitrogen oxides outside polluted areas.

However, only very few measurements of PAN in unpolluted areas have been reported in the literature, mainly as a result of the lack of simple, reliable and sufficiently sensitive techniques for the measurements of PAN in background air.

In our paper we will describe a completely automatic gas chromatographic system. Without enrichment it allows the determination of PAN with a lower limit of detection of ≈ 10 ppt. Combined with an adsorptive enrichment procedure at low temperature detection limits of less than 1 ppt are possible. At these low concentrations, a complete separation from possible interfering atmospheric constituents (e.g. halocarbons) is necessary. This is achieved by separation on a 1 m long glass column, i.d. 2 mm, packed with 5% PEG 400 on Chromosorb W HP 80/100 mesh at ambient temperature. For field measurements—especially for *in situ* measurements on board of airplanes—a special light weight gas chromatograph with a peltier cooled oven was developed.

KEY WORDS: Peroxyacetyl nitrate measurements by gas chromatography, adsorptive enrichment of PAN, automatic instrument for PAN measurement at ppt levels.

INTRODUCTION

Peroxyacetylnitrate is not only important as a toxic product of photochemical pollution but may also act as an important reservoir

for nitrogen oxides outside of polluted areas. To date most of the available PAN measurements are from more or less polluted urban and rural environments.^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6} Only very few measurements of PAN in unpolluted areas have been reported.^{7, 8} This is mainly a result of the lack of simple, reliable and sufficiently sensitive techniques for the measurement of PAN in background air. In the following paper we will describe completely automatic gas chromatographic systems suitable for the determination of PAN at levels of a few parts per trillion or even below.

EXPERIMENTAL, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A schematic drawing of the experimental set-up is shown in Figure 1. The 10-port valve (I) serves as injection valve and for backflushing the column. During the backflush time sample air is pumped through the sample loop. The 4-port valve (II) allows to separate the sample loop from the carrier gas stream. This diminishes the tailing



FIGURE 1 Schematic drawing of the sample injection system. Explanation see text.

of the extremely large peaks especially the tailing of the oxygen peak. The multiport valves I and II are pneumatically actuated switching valves. The gas flows for the pneumatic actuators of the multiport valves are switched by solenoid valves. These solenoid valves are controlled by a time programable microprocessor.

The manually actuated 4-port valve (III) allows liquid injection by means of a usual gas chromatographic injector. Switching the 4-port valve causes the carrier gas to flow through the injector. Otherwise the carrier gas flow passes only the 4-port valve to prevent memory effects or losses of sample in the liquid injector. The multiport valves which we use are stainless steel valves with PTFE rotor. The connections between the different parts of the inlet system are made by 1/16'' stainless steel tubes to minimize dead volumes and the contact time between the samples and the walls of the connecting lines.

Pump I serves for flushing the inlet line, a 4 mm i.d. PTFE-tube, with outside air. The flow rate is 1-21/min to prevent losses of PAN in the inlet line. This allows to use length of 10-20 m without observable sample degradation. Part of this air stream is used for flushing the sample loop (pump II). The flow rate of 100-300 ml/min of air is regulated by a flow controller. The sample loop is made of glass with an i.d. of 4 mm and a volume between $10 \text{ and } 20 \text{ cm}^3$.

For separation we use a 1 m long, 2 mm i.d. glass tube, packed with 5% PEG 400 on Chromosorb W HP 80/100 mesh. The precolumn is simply a shorter version of the separation column with a length of 30 cm. The separation temperatures are between 15 and 20°C. To allow operation of the chromatograph at temperatures slightly below ambient conditions, the instrument (Sichromat I, Siemens AG) is equipped with a heat exchanger; this heat exchanger is connected to a cryostat which is maintained at -30° C.

For detection of PAN a 10 mCi (0.37 GBq) Ni63 electron-capture detector (ECD) is used. Detector temperature is $55-58^{\circ}$ C. The flow rate through the column is between 40 and 60 ml/min. The flow rate of the make-up gas for the ECD is about 10 ml/min.

For enrichment of PAN we use dry ice-ethanol as cooling agent. The temperature of this dry ice-ethanol mixture is $\approx -78^{\circ}$ C. The sample loop is the same as described above with a volume of 20 cm.³ The flow rate through the sample loop is 100 ml/min, the enrichment time 5–20 min, thus PAN is concentrated from 500–2000 cm³ of air.

For *in situ* inflight measurements of PAN a special compact light weight gas chromatograph was built. The gas sampling inlet system is in principle the same as described above with the exception that 1/8'' stainless steel tubes are used for the connections between the different values to diminish the pressure drop in the system. At the maximum flight level of usual jet aircrafts the air pressure outside the cabin is only 0.2 bar or even below. At these low inlet pressures, the use of 1/16'' tubing would not allow the flow rates of the sample gas which are necessary for the optimum operation of the instrument. The oven is replaced by an isolated aluminium box which is thermostated by peltier elements. For enrichment of PAN the above mentioned dry ice-ethanol mixture is used. This mixture is kept in an isolated aluminium container which is moved up and down pneumatically allowing automatic cooling of the sample loop. The whole equipment, inlet system, oven, cooling bath, strip chart recorder, power supply for the peltier elements, detector electronics, timer etc. are installed in a rack with an overall height of 125 cm, a width of 65 cm and a depth of 80 cm. The weight of the complete instrument is about 80 kg. This instrument also operates completely automatic.

Calibration

The calibration of our system is carried out with PAN-concentrations which correspond to mixing ratios which are expected for semi-rural areas. These mixing ratios are obtained by diluting the 400 ppm PAN mixture which is delivered by TNO (Central Organisation for Applied Scientific Research in the Netherlands— Utrecht) by a factor of 10^5-10^6 . The dependence of the peak height from the thus obtained mixing ratios of PAN is shown in Figure 2. The standard deviation for the determination of PAN is 2% for concentrations above 1 ppb increasing to 6% at 0.5 ppb. The 3σ detection limit is 10 ppt.

Field calibration

It is known that PAN decomposes irreversibly during storage^{12, 13} at ambient temperatures. Thus direct calibration of PAN during field experiments is difficult to achieve. Therefore we use n-propylnitrate as external standard. N-propylnitrate has a similar detection sensi-



FIGURE 2 Calibration curve for PAN, sample volume 20 cm^3 . The points with error bars represent average and stadard deviation for at least 5 measurements of the same air mixture.

tivity and retention time as PAN. On the other hand n-propylnitrate is a liquid which is quite stable in the dark. It is very easy to dilute n-propyl nitrate to appropriate concentrations with suitable solvents such as n-heptane. The detection sensitivity of PAN and n-propylnitrate is compared at known concentrations of both compounds to determine a conversion factor PAN to n-propylnitrate. Based on this factor the sensitivity, instrument performance, calibration etc. can be checked and any changes be corrected by calibrating the instrument with n-propylnitrate in the field.

Separation and identification

The main conditions which should be observed for the determination of PAN are the following:

a) Complete separation of PAN from all other possible interfering compounds.

b) For separation temperatures of 20° C or below should be used to minimize the loss of PAN on the column.

c) The sample volume should be relatively large to obtain low detection limits for PAN.

The instrument described above fully meets these requirements. The PAN peak in chromatograms from ambient air samples is identified by its retention time. This identity is checked by increasing the detector temperature—for PAN an increase of the ECD temperature results in a decrease of sensitivity. The quality of the separation can be tested by decomposing PAN with potassium hydroxide.

In Figure 3a and b two chromatograms of ambient air samples which were determined within half an hour are shown, one with and one without a "KOH-scrubber" in the inlet line. This "KOHscrubber" consists of a glass or PTFE tube with $\approx 4 \text{ mm}$ i.d. of 10 cm to 50 cm length. The length of this tube depends on the desired air flow rate through this tube. It is packed with glass beads of 2 mm size coated with potassium hydroxide. The PAN peak in Figure 3a corresponds to a mixing ratio of 1.55 ppb PAN, in Figure 3b (air sampled through a KOH-scrubber in the inlet line, no other changes being made) no significant peak for PAN can be recognized. This demonstrates that PAN can be quantitatively (more than 99%) removed by potassium hydroxide from air. This is an important point since it is—in addition to a chromatographic separation with good resolution of the different peaks—a further evidence for the "purity" of the PAN peak.

PAN enrichment

The enrichment of PAN in a coiled glass tube of 4 mm i.d. and 20 cm^3 volume at dry ice temperature (-78° C) is reproducible within 10% accuracy and nearly quantitative ($\approx 90\%$). During sample desorption, the temperature of the sample loop must not exceed 20°C, otherwise substantial thermal decomposition of PAN can occur. Due to this rather limited thermal stability it is not advisable to use packed adsorption tubes (regardless of the packing) for the concentration of PAN from ambient air samples: at moderate temperatures below 20°C desorption of PAN from most surfaces—with the exception of very smooth surfaces such as glass—will be



FIGURE 3 Chromatograms from air samples, Jülich, 20. February 1984, late morning a. without PAN removal, the PAN peak (2) corresponds to a mixing ratio of 1.5 ppb. b. less than 30 minutes later but with a "KOH-scrubber" for PAN removal in the inlet line, no other changes were made.

slow, resulting in broad, tailing peaks, at higher temperatures thermal decomposition of PAN will occur. The air flow rate through the adsorption loop should be in the order of $100 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min.}^{-1}$ If the flow rate is higher, the adsorption efficiency will be reduced, at much lower flow rates PAN may partly decompose in the injection system. It is essential to keep the adsorption loop at or below a temperature of -75° C during PAN enrichment. Even slightly higher tempera-

tures will result in a decrease of adsorption efficiency and reproducibility.

Performance of the instruments

The methods described above have successfully been used for measurements of PAN in semi-rural and rural areas as well as for the determination of vertical and horizontal profiles of PAN up to altitudes of nearly 12 km. Figure 4 shows the time dependence of the PAN mixing ratio for a period of three days in March 1984 at the KFA Jülich. These measurements were made without preconcentration of PAN by injecting 20 cm^3 of air onto the column.

It can be seen that this method is adequate for measuring PAN even outside polluted areas. The sensitivity, reproducibility and reliability of this instrument is sufficient for the determination of PAN in remote and semi-remote areas of continental Germany without enrichment of PAN. The situation is different for the measurement of vertical profiles. The mixing ratios of PAN outside the planetary boundary layer are generally rather low and—as a result of the rather unfavourable conditions for the inflight operation



FIGURE 4 Variation of the atmospheric mixing ratio of PAN near Jülich between March the 3rd and 5th, 1984. Each point represents one measurement. These data are part of a longer series of measurements made at the KFA Jülich in early 1984.

of a gaschromatograph—the lower limit of detection for PAN under these conditions is by a factor of about 3 higher than for ground based instruments.

Therefore it seems necessary to combine the chromatographic measurement with a preconcentration of PAN such as described above or other.^{7,10,11} The use of dry ice as cooling agent for the enrichment of PAN from ambient air has considerable advantages over the use of liquid nitrogen or argon as far as logistics (storage, availability, handling etc.) are concerned. In Figure 5 a chromatogram of an inflight measurement of PAN is shown. The PAN peak corresponds to a mixing ratio of 30 ppt. It can be seen that even considerably lower PAN mixing ratios would still show peaks which could easily be evaluated. Indeed, throughout a campaign of more than three weeks where measurements of PAN (and other atmospheric trace constituents) between 65°N and 60°S up to altitudes of



FIGURE 5 Chromatogram of a sample enriched from 2 dm^3 of air at -78.5° C. The PAN peak corresponds to a mixing ratio of 30 ppt. This measurement was made inflight on June the 7th 1984, 21:15 h UT between $40^\circ \text{N}/64^\circ \text{W}$ and $38^\circ \text{N}/64^\circ \text{W}$ at an altitude range from 19 000 to 27 000 ft.

nearly 12 km were made, we observed PAN at sometimes very low but always measurable mixing ratios. Moreover, in spite of the problems of such field campaigns, the instrument was operational for more than 90% of the flights. The result of these measurements will be presented elsewhere, a presentation and discussion of these data would be beyond the scope of this paper.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Germany Ministry of Science and Technology.

References

- 1. E. R. Stephens, P. L. Hanst, R. C. Doerr and W. E. Scott, Ind. Engng. Chem. 48, 1498 (1956).
- 2. E. R. Stephens, Adv. Envir. Sci. 1, 119 (1969).
- 3. S. A. Penkett, F. J. Sandalls and J. G. Lovelock, Atmos. Environ. 9, 139 (1975).
- 4. W. A. Lonnemann, J. J. Bufalini and R. L. Seila, Environ. Sci. Technol. 10, 374 (1976).
- 5. H. Nieboer and J. van Ham, Atmos. Environ. 10, 115 (1976).
- T. Nielsen, U. Samuelsson, P. Grennfelt and E. L. Thomsen, Nature, Lond. 293, 553 (1981).
- 7. H. B. Singh and L. J. Salas, Atmos. Environ. 17, 1507 (1983).
- 8. H. B. Singh and L. J. Salas, Nature, 302, 326 (1983).
- 9. J. Löbel, V. Wipprecht and U. Schurath, Staub-Reinhalt. Luft 40, 243 (1980).
- U. Schurath, U. Kortmann and S. Glavas, Proc. 3rd European Symp. "Physico-Chemical Behaviour of Atmospheric Pollutants", Varese, 10–12.4.84, 99 (1984).
- H. Meyrahn, J. Hahn, G. Helas, P. Warneck and S. A. Penkett, Proc. 3rd European Symp. "Physico-Chemical Behaviour of Atmospheric Pollutants", Varese, 10–12.4.84, 109 (1984).
- P. Bruckmann and W. Mülder, Schriftenreihe der Landesanstalt f
 ür Immissionsschutz des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen 47, 30 (1979).
- 13. R. A. Cox and M. J. Roffey, Environ. Sci. Technol. 11(9), 900 (1977).